

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **United States District Court**  
9 **Central District of California**  
10

11 M. MERAZ.,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 PORTFOLIO RECOVERY  
15 ASSOCIATES, LLC; LEGAL  
16 RECOVERY LAW OFFICES, INC.; and  
17 DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,  
18 Defendants.  
19

Case No. 2:14-cv-00768-ODW(PJWx)


**ORDER CONTINUING ORDER TO  
SHOW CAUSE [8]**

20 On May 14, 2014, the Court ordered Plaintiff M Meraz to show cause why she  
21 had not served Defendants within the 120 day period provided by Federal Rule of  
22 Civil Procedure 4(m). (ECF No. 8.) On May 30, 2014, Meraz responded to the  
23 Court's Order, and informed the Court that the parties are engaged in settlement  
24 discussions and "expect to have the final settlement documents ready for client  
25 signature within ninety [ ] days." (ECF No. 9.) But Meraz has not yet filed a notice  
26 of settlement with the Court. *See* L.R. 16-15.7 ("If a settlement is reached, counsel  
27 shall (a) immediately report the settlement to the trial judge's courtroom deputy clerk;  
28 and (b) timely memorialize the terms of the settlement.")

1           Nonetheless, the Court **ORDERS** Plaintiff **TO SHOW CAUSE** in writing **no**  
2 **later than Tuesday, August 5, 2014**, why they have not finalized settlement. No  
3 hearing will be held. The Court will discharge this Order upon the filing of a  
4 stipulated dismissal or a joint response that indicates an additional, reasonable amount  
5 of time is required to finalize settlement

6           **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7  
8           June 2, 2014

9  
10           

11           \_\_\_\_\_  
12           **OTIS D. WRIGHT, II**  
13           **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28